Document 10

Filed 07/17/2007

Page 1 of 3

Case 3:07-mj-70396-MRGD

July 18, 2007 through July 20, 2007, and at a Department meeting in Washington D.C. from July 23 through July 25, 2007. The parties represent that granting the continuance is necessary for effective preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

Accordingly, the parties have agreed as follows:

- 1. The proceedings scheduled for July 23, 2007 should be removed from calendar and be continued until July 26, 2007.
- 2. The Defendant agrees to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny both Government and Defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, the need for both sides to investigate the facts of the case, the on-going attempts to reach a pre-indictment disposition, and the need for continuity of counsel. Id. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).
- 3. Given these circumstances, the parties agree and the Court should find that the ends of justice are served by excluding the period from July 9, 2007 to July 26, 2007 from the Speedy Trial Act calculation and outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. <u>Id.</u> § 3161(h)(8)(A).
 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: July 17, 2007

/s/ Joshua B. Eaton

JOSHUA B. EATON

Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: July 17, 2007

JBE for /s/ Craig H. Bessenger
CRAIG H. BESSENGER
Attorney for JEFFREY HARRISON

IT IS SO ORDERED.

With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court enters this order (1) removing this matter from the July 23, 2007 calendar, and scheduling further proceedings in this matter for July 26, 2007; and (2) documenting the exclusion of time from July 9, 2007 to July 26, 2007, under the Speedy Trial For, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h) & (B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED

Judge Nandor J. Vadas